

EXHIBIT A



Your Missouri Courts



Search for Cases by:

[Judicial Links](#) | [eFiling](#) | [Help](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Print](#)

[GrantedPublicAccess](#) [Logoff ALICIAMKERR](#)

21SL-CC03111 - REBECCA BURRIS V BBQ HOLDINGS, INC (E-CASE)

[Case Records](#) [Parties & Attorneys](#) [Docket Entries](#) [Charges, Judgments & Sentences](#) [Service Information](#) [Filings Due](#) [Scheduled Hearings & Trials](#) [Civil Judgments](#) [Garnishments/Execution](#)

[Click here to eFile on Case](#)

Sort Date Entries: ☒ Descending
☐ Ascending

Display Options:

[Click here to Respond to Selected Documents](#)

- 07/09/2021 ☐ **Judge/Clerk - Note**
HOLD SERVICE
- ☐ **Filing Info Sheet eFiling**
Filed By: STEPHEN CODY REINBERG
- ☐ **Pet Filed in Circuit Ct**
Petition.
Filed By: STEPHEN CODY REINBERG
On Behalf Of: REBECCA BURRIS
- ☐ **Judge Assigned**
DIV 4

Case.net Version 5.14.19

[Return to Top of Page](#)

Released 07/15/2021

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY
STATE OF MISSOURI

REBECCCA BURRIS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Cause No.
)	Division No.
BBQ HOLDINGS, INC.)	
)	
Defendant)	
)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
HOLD SERVICE)	
)	
)	
Defendant.)	

PETITION

Plaintiff Rebecca Burris (“Burris” or “Plaintiff”), by and through undersigned counsel and for her Petition against Defendant BBQ Holdings, Inc. (“Defendant”), hereby states and alleges the following:

Jurisdiction and Venue

1. This action arises under the Missouri Human Rights Act, Mo. Rev. Stat. §§ 213.010 – 213.126 (“MHRA”) and Family Medical Leave Act 29 U.S.C. §2601 et seq. (“FMLA”).
2. The unlawful employment practices complained of herein were committed within St. Louis County, Missouri.
3. As a result of the foregoing, jurisdiction and venue in this Court are proper.

Parties

4. Burris is a female. She is, and at all relevant times was, a resident of Missouri.
5. Defendant is Plaintiff’s former employer located in St. Louis County, Missouri.
6. Defendant is an “employer” as defined under the MHRA.

7. Defendant is a corporation, which at all relevant times was doing business in the State of Missouri and employed more than 50 employees for at least 20 workweeks at the facility in which Plaintiff worked.

8. At all times material to this action, Defendant engaged in an industry affecting commerce and had six or more employees for each working day of twenty or more calendar weeks.

Administrative Procedures

9. Plaintiff timely filed a Charge of Discrimination, including timely amendments, with the Missouri Commission on Human Rights ("MCHR") pertaining to the discrimination and retaliation alleged in this lawsuit.

10. On or around April 14, 2021, Plaintiff received a Notice of Right to Sue from the MCHR, relating to the aforementioned Charge, and she has initiated this action within 90 days of the date of such Notice of Right to Sue.

General Allegations

11. Plaintiff was previously employed by Defendant as a Salaried Manager.

12. Plaintiff worked for Defendant, or its predecessor, for approximately 11 years.

13. Plaintiff worked her way through the ranks and ultimately was promoted to the Salaried Manager position.

14. Plaintiff was the only female Salaried Manager.

15. The General Manager was also male.

16. Only one of the Salaried Managers had been a Manager longer than Plaintiff.

17. Only one of these other Managers had as longstanding of career with Defendant as Plaintiff.

18. Plaintiff was thoroughly trained in both front of house and back of house operations – as were all Salaried Managers.

19. Notwithstanding these facts, Plaintiff was terminated upon concluding FMLA leave taken in conjunction with her pregnancy.

20. The purported reason for her termination was that she did not have back of house ability, which is false and pre-textual.

21. Plaintiff's termination was motivated by her sex and/or pregnancy and/or in retaliation for taking FMLA leave.

First Cause of Action:
MHRA – Sex/Pregnancy Discrimination

22. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

23. Plaintiff suffered sex/pregnancy discrimination that culminated in her termination.

24. Plaintiff's termination was motivated by her sex and/or pregnancy.

25. Defendant, by the actions described herein, discriminated against Plaintiff due to her sex and/or pregnancy in violation of the MHRA.

26. As a consequence of Defendant's actions as described herein, Plaintiff has lost, and continues to lose, wages and other financial incidents and benefits of employment.

27. As a consequence of Defendant's actions as described herein, Plaintiff has experienced emotional distress, embarrassment, and a loss of reputation.

28. As a consequence of Defendant's actions as described herein, Plaintiff has incurred, and will continue to incur, attorney's fees, costs, and expenses.

29. The conduct of Defendant was outrageous and willfully undertaken with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights.

30. Plaintiff's damages in this Count are in an amount not less than \$25,000.00.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests a jury trial and that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against Defendant, declaring that Defendant has engaged in unlawful employment practices with respect to Plaintiff in violation of her rights protected by the MHRA; that Plaintiff be compensated for all losses and damages suffered as a result of Defendant's unlawful discrimination and discharge of Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, past and future lost income, hedonic damages, emotional distress damages, other lost financial benefits of employment, and an amount to compensate Plaintiff for any tax treatment of a damages award; that Defendant be ordered to pay punitive damages; that Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment and/or post-judgment interest on her damages; that Plaintiff be awarded attorneys' fees and costs reasonably expended on this case; and further relief as this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

Third Cause of Action:
FMLA Retaliation and Discrimination

31. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

32. Defendant, by its actions, including, but not limited to those described above, discriminated against and/or retaliated against Plaintiff for her exercise of rights under the FMLA.

33. Plaintiff has lost income and benefits of employment and has incurred, and will continue to incur, attorney's fees, costs, and expenses of suit.

34. Defendant's conduct was a willful violation of the FMLA

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays that this Court enter judgment in her favor against Defendant for back pay, liquidated damages, front pay, prejudgment interest, as well for appropriate

equitable, declaratory, and injunctive relief, attorney's fees, costs, and expenses and such further relief deemed just and proper under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

HKM Employment Attorneys LLP

By /s/ S. Cody Reinberg

S. Cody Reinberg #66174
9666 Olive Blvd., Suite 202A
St. Louis, Missouri 63132
314-391-9557
creinberg@hkm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Rebecca Burris